Natural gradient learning for spiking neurons
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ABSTRACT

Due to their simplicity and success in machine learning, gradient-based learning rules represent a popular choice for synaptic plasticity models. While they have been linked to biological observations, it is often ignored that their predictions generally depend on a specific representation of the synaptic strength. In a neuron, the impact of a synapse can be described using the state of many different observables such as neuromodulator release rates or membrane potential changes. Which one of these is chosen when deriving a learning rule can drastically change the predictions of the model. This is doubly unsatisfactory, both with respect to optimality and from a conceptual point of view. By following the gradient on the manifold of the neuron’s firing distributions instead of one that is relative to some arbitrary synaptic weight parametrization, natural gradient descent provides a solution to both these problems. While the computational advantages of natural gradient are well-studied in ANNs, its predictive power as a model for in-vivo synaptic plasticity has not yet been assessed. By formulating natural gradient learning in the context of spiking interactions, we demonstrate how it can improve the convergence speed of spiking networks. Furthermore, our approach provides a unified, normative framework for both homo- and heterosynaptic plasticity in structured neurons and predicts a number of related biological phenomena.

1 NATURAL-GRADIENT PLASTICITY RULE

In an ongoing effort to provide a normative framework for synaptic plasticity, a multitude of top-down computational paradigms have been developed, which derive adaptation rules for synaptic weights as gradient descent on a particular objective function of the studied neural network. However, the exact physical quantity to which these synaptic weights correspond often remains unspecified. Depending on the particular choice of the modeler, the network behavior during learning can be markedly different.

As many aspects of network connectivity that arise during development – such as the exact contact point of afferents along the dendritic tree of a neuron – are largely random, the question of invariant learning also represents a practical problem for a brain that strives for optimal learning. What if neurons would seek to conserve the way they adapt their behavior regardless of, e.g., the specific positioning of synapses along their dendritic tree? What synaptic learning rule is able to fulfill this requirement?

First studied by Amari in the context of information geometry [2], natural gradient learning has the appealing property of alleviating such parametrization-related concerns by addressing the question of steepest descent at the level of neuronal outputs. By using the manifold of neuronal output distributions as a support for the cost function, parametrization invariance is achieved implicitly.

We consider Poisson neurons with firing rates that are nonlinear functions \( \phi \) of the somatic membrane potential \( V = \sum_w w_i x_i^f \), with synaptic weights \( w_i \) and inputs \( x_i^f \). Given a target spike train \( Y^* \) provided by a teacher neuron with distribution \( p^* \), synapses should efficiently minimize the cost function \( C = D_{KL}(p^* | p) \). By choosing the Fisher information \( G \) as a metric on the space of output distributions \( p \), we can write the natural gradient as a linear transformation of the Euclidean gradient: \( \nabla_N = G^{-1} \nabla_E \). From here, we can derive a synaptic plasticity rule of the form

\[
\mathbf{w} = \eta \gamma_s \left[ Y^* - \phi(V) \right] \frac{\phi'(V)}{\phi(V)} \left( \frac{c_x x^c}{r} - \gamma_u + \gamma_w \mathbf{w} \right),
\]

which recovers the error-correcting dynamics of other gradient learning frameworks [9, 13], but extends them in several important respects, as we discuss below. While the coefficients \( \gamma_s, \gamma_u, \gamma_w \) are in general more complex functions of the student neuron’s dynamics, we have shown that, in many cases, they can be well approximated by simpler quantities that are locally accessible at every synapse.

2 BIOLOGICAL PREDICTIONS

Fig. 1 shows simulation results for a learning scenario using the natural-gradient plasticity rule derived above. By performing optimization directly on the space of output distributions, it always follows a locally straight path towards the local optimum (Fig. 1C,D), which is a hallmark of parametrization invariance. This also helps...
learning converge significantly faster than with Euclidean gradients, as shown in Fig. 1E (with optimal learning rates for both plasticity rules).

Natural-gradient plasticity exhibits distance-dependent scaling, which can be observed when expressing the weight update directly in terms of EPSP amplitudes in the dendritic tree, rather than their somatic counterparts. Since synaptic changes are attenuated on their way to the soma, in order to evoke the same effect in terms of adapting the neuron’s firing behavior, changes at distal synapses must be increased compared to plasticity at proximal synapses with a similar impact on output firing, as also suggested by dendritic democracy experiments [5].

Just like in Euclidean gradient descent, our learning rule follows the postsynaptic error \( Y^* - \phi(V) \), and its input-adjusted term \( c^x - x^a \) encourages modification of synapses that have recently been active. However, in contrast to classical gradient-based learning, this homosynaptic weight update is complemented by both a uniform as well as a weight-proportional heterosynaptic contribution. This is in line with experimental findings [3, 7], as well as with computational studies that list heterosynaptic plasticity as a necessary component of stable learning [15]. Similarly to experimental data [10, 14], our simulations show that under natural gradient learning, changes of unstimulated synapses often have an opposite sign compared to those of stimulated synapses, thereby acting as a homeostatic mechanism that keeps the neuron close to an optimal operating point.

Furthermore, our learning rule includes a synapse-specific scaling of the homosynaptic contribution by the input rate, similar to the rescaling observed in a Bayesian plasticity framework [1]. A further prediction revealed by our simulated stimulation protocol is that the effective learning rate of our rule is inversely related to the USP variance. This is also reminiscent of other gradient-based approaches in machine learning [6].

3 CONCLUSIONS

Our learning rule provides a consistent, normative theory of synaptic plasticity that combines fast learning with multiple experimentally testable predictions, including a unified framework for both homo- and heterosynaptic changes. The bridge to biology also hints at applications on artificial neuromorphic systems, many of which offer increasing flexibility with respect to spike-based learning [12]. Compared to recently demonstrated gradient-based learning paradigms [4, 8, 11], our framework may improve the robustness and speed of convergence, ultimately reducing the required time- and energy-to-solution.
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